

The Trajectory of Psycho-Social Anxiety in Ukraine following the Chornobyl Nuclear Accident

Robert Alan Yaffee¹ , Thomas B. Borak²,
RoseMarie Perez Foster³, Remi Frazier², Mariya Burdina⁴,
Gleb Prib⁵, and Victor Chtenguelov⁶

¹ Silver School of Social Work, New York University, New York, N.Y.

² Environmental and Radiological Health Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado

³ Natural Hazards Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado

⁴ Department of Economics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado

⁵ Institute for professional training of Public service of employment of Ukraine, Kiev, Ukraine

⁶ Dept. of Social Work & Applied Psychology, Academy of Labor & Social Relations, Kiev, Ukraine

Nuclear Summit and Workshop
Georgetown University
October 29, 2015

Project responsibilities of authors

in order of authors

- ▶ **Senior Research Scientist Robert Alan Yaffee Ph.D.** Research design, sampling methodology, statistical analysis, forecasting, and forecasting evaluation.
- ▶ **Co-P.I. Thomas B. Borak Ph.D.** External radiation dose reconstruction, radiation questionnaire item construction, and analysis of dosimetry.
- ▶ **P.I. RoseMarie Perez-Foster Ph.D.** Administrative coordinator, psychological questionnaire construction, as well as coordinator of instrument translation and back-translation (41), (42), (43).
- ▶ **Remi Frazier, M.S.** Radiation dose reconstruction and analysis.
- ▶ **Mariya Burdina, Ph.D.** Data manager
- ▶ **Gleb Prib, MD PhD** Ukrainian Project manager;
- ▶ **Victor Chtenguelov, MD PhD** Medical consultant and translator in Ukraine.

Acknowledgment

- ▶ We thank the National Science Foundation for support of this research with HSD grant 082 6983 with respect to modeling nuclear disaster risk.
- ▶ We thank the Ukrainian Ministry of Health for their cooperation, and
- ▶ Steven Simon and Vladimir Drozdovitch, Radiation Epidemiology Branch, National Cancer Institute, for review of retrospective radiation dose assessment procedures.
- ▶ We also thank for their gracious advice and support:

Prof. Sir David F. Hendry
Prof. Jurgen A. Doornik
Prof. Jennifer L. Castle
Prof. Siem Jan Koopman
Prof. Sebastien Laurent
Prof. Andrew C. Harvey
Prof. Neil Ericsson
Prof. Kathleen Tierney
Dr. Mark Nicolich
Dianne Smith
Teresa Timberlake
David Corbett
Noelia Januario
Jonathan D. Yaffee
Diena Karagodina

Oxford University Martin School, Institute for New Economic Thinking
Oxford University Martin School, Institute for New Economic Thinking
Oxford University Martin School, Institute for New Economic Thinking
VU University Amsterdam, Tinbergen Institute, CREATES Aarhus Univ.
IAE Aix-en-Provence, GREGAM
Cambridge University
U.S. Federal Reserve Board, George Washington University
University of Colorado, Boulder
Biostatistician
University of Colorado, Boulder
Timberlake Consultants, Ltd.
Timberlake Consultants, Ltd.
Timberlake Consultants, Ltd.
Consulting Java programmer
Consulting translator

Abstract

- ▶ Our objectives were to examine predictive parameters of psychological impacts, resulting from the Chernobyl accident, on residents living in the oblasts of Kiev and Zhytomyr. We tested drivers for psycho-social depression based on estimates radiological dose received from radioactivity release during the accident and the perception of increased health effects associated with this radiation. To obtain a representative sample of individuals, we attached computer generated random numbers to area codes provided by the telephone company. In January 2009, Russia created an intervening crisis by interrupting supplies of natural gas to the Ukraine. We employed scenario forecasting to circumvent crisis effects that could otherwise undermine the internal validity of our study.
- ▶ State space methods were used to model and graph trajectories of psycho-social depression reported by male and female respondents. Results of the dose reconstruction process revealed that the dose received by this population was too low to identify pathological disease or injury. From our empirical analysis, we found that the psychological impacts of the nuclear incident stemmed from perceived.

Introduction

Literature review

Research Questions

Methods

- Research design
- Measures and their metrics
- Confounding variable circumvention
- State space models

Results

Male Anxiety Model

- Final male anxiety model
- Model validation assessment
- Ex post forecast evaluation
- Forecast profile

Female Anxiety Model

- Final female anxiety trajectory model
- Model validation assessment
- Female depression ex post forecast evaluation
- Forecast profile

Discussion

- Hypothesis tests with state space model of Post-Chornobyl psycho-social depression in Ukraine
- Limitations
- Directions of future research

References

Appendices

- Appendix I: Sample summary characteristics
- Appendix II: Level of Human biological reactivity to radiation
- Appendix III: Kalman filter: (Koopman, S.J. , Shephard, N. , and Doornik, J.A., (2008)


The nuclear accident at Chernobyl

- ▶ **The most severe accident** in the history of the nuclear power industry.
- ▶ **Release of radioactive debris** expelled at initial thermal explosions and for the next **10 days** during the ensuing graphite fires.
- ▶ Approximately 10^{17} Bq of ^{137}Cs (Caesium) was released. For comparison, **this fallout is 10% of that released from all atmospheric nuclear weapons tests** and about **10 times the fallout of Fukushima** (13).
- ▶ Our focus: Implications for extended effects of radiation dispersal device detonation.

Dispersal and deposition of fallout

- ▶ Long **duration of the release and changing meteorological conditions** dispersed radioactivity in many directions.
- ▶ Largest concentrations of radioactivity deposited in **Belarus, Ukraine and the Russian Federation**.
- ▶ The patterns over much of Europe were irregular with **Hot Spots** adjacent to **Cold Spots**.
- ▶ Measurements were compiled into maps in the *Atlas of Cesium Deposition on Europe following the Chernobyl Accident* published by the European Commission (13).

Reactor four after accident



June 1986: The remains of reactor number 4, from the roof of the third reactor

Photograph: Igor Kostin/Corbis

Figure 1: Reactor four after explosion

^{137}Cs Caesium deposition in Ukraine




Figure 2: ^{137}Cs Caesium in Ukraine - DeCort et al., 1998

Thematic map of the dispersal and distribution of $^{137}\text{Cesium}$




Figure 3: Atlas of $^{137}\text{Cesium}$ deposition on Europe after the Chernobyl Accident (DeCort et. al.(1998) (13))

Literature review

- ▶ Almost all earlier Chernobyl studies were epidemiological observational studies
- ▶ Focused on highly exposed groups -
- ▶ Havenaar and van den Brink (1997) : most Chernobyl articles lacked adequate sampling protocol and specification of it (26, 365)
- ▶ Only Ukraine World Mental Health Survey (Bromet, EJ, Gluzman, SE, Paniotto, VI, Havenaar, JM, and Gutkovich, Z, 2004) attempted to apply standard composite diagnostic interview scales to national sample, (7, 681-684).
- ▶ Bromet et al. (2011) 25 year review of Chernobyl literature, noted only 1 gerontological study attempting to use a random sampled control group.
- ▶ But these 2 studies were cross-sectional.

The Chernobyl literature in review

1. The Chernobyl Forum Report of the 20th anniversary of the Chernobyl accident : the **most significant public health consequences were social-psychological (8)**.
2. In their **25 year review of the consequences of the Chernobyl accident, Bromet, Havenaar, and Guey (2011)** : evacuees were disrupted by social uprooting, relocation, social discrimination, and stigmatization (9, 297-298).
3. Bromet et al. (2011) claim that it is almost impossible to disentangle from the general turmoil that followed the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991.
4. Those studies were not based on time series.

Madigan, D. et al (2013) found that observational studies without random sampling often fail to match experimental and control groups for accurate analysis

- ▶ They attempt to overcome confounding with unadjusted incidence rate ratios,
- ▶ with age-by-gender stratification,
- ▶ with high- dimensional propensity score matching,
- ▶ or by controlling for exposure time.

Observational studies fail to remove systemic bias

- ▶ Madigan, D. , Schuemie, M.J., and Ryan, P.B., Drug Safety, 2013, S73-82: **identified systemic errors** in observational studies: "... **selection bias, misclassification, and residual confounding, resulting in spurious significance assessments** (Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership web site: <http://omop.org>).
- ▶ In medical product observational studies, 54% of the studies that claimed $p < 0.05$ were not statistically significant.
- ▶ **Without specific correction** for such systematic error, these biases are believed to be **"intrinsic to observational studies in general** (48, 209,210,216)."
- ▶ Randomized neutralization of bias and the external validity of such observational studies remain in question (25).

What we propose that is new and different

1. We apply **structural time series analysis** for the first time to these data for the purposes of **hypothesis testing and trajectory forecasting**.
2. We use **a random sample** to focus on the depression symptoms reported by the general populace in the two oblasts under consideration.
3. **Statistical controls** for analysis of relationships.
4. A method of circumventing principal confounding end-effects with **scenario of reverting to a different point of forecast origin** to circumvent potentially confounding impacts of events.
5. We forecast over a horizon and evaluate the accuracy of our forecasts to demonstrate the efficacy of this method.

Potentially confounding events from 2006 through 2010

Why we forecast from 2005

- ▶ 2006 trade dispute led Russian natural gas cut-off for 4 days.
- ▶ 2006-2007 Much political conflict and administrative instability.
- ▶ 2009 Jan 1 natural gas cut-off for 21 days
- ▶ 2008-2010 Great global recession: Steel demand declines and currency value drops

Research Objectives

1. To empirically **test whether the dose to persons from external sources of ionizing radiation** released during the accident was a significant predictor of the pattern of psycho-social anxiety in the population residing in the Ukrainian oblasts of Kiev and Zhytomyr.
2. To empirically **test whether the perceived health risk associated with Chernobyl released ionizing radiation** was a significant predictor the trajectory of psycho-social anxiety in that population.
3. To **build a time series model to accurately forecast the level of psycho-social depression** after a nuclear incident.
4. To test statistical congruence of the model, and with *ex post* and *ex ante* forecast evaluation to assess its accuracy.
5. To **circumvent the potentially confounding impacts of major intervening variables** at the end of the psycho-social anxiety series.

Intro: Nomenclature of psychosocial sequelae

In this presentation psychosocial anxiety

- ▶ a sample average of self-reported psychological sequelae: **psycho-social depression, anxiety, and PTSD.**
- ▶ Standard composite depression inventories are not amenable to retrospective reconstruction. People cannot recall all of their specific items (e.g., appetite) years later.
- ▶ the Beck has 21 self-reported items, Hamilton 17, CESD 20, BSI 9 for its depression sub-scale.
- ▶ Attempted application of inventories would result in too many missing values.

Research Objectives

1. To empirically test whether dose to individuals from external sources of ionizing radiation released during the accident was a significant variable in predicting the temporal pattern of psycho-social anxiety in the population residing in Kiev and Zhytomyr Oblasts in Ukraine.
empirically test whether the perceived health risk associated with radiation from the Chernobyl accident was a significant variable in predicting the trajectory of psycho-social anxiety in that population.
2. To develop a state space model to predict psycho-social anxiety after a nuclear incident.
3. To devise a protocol for circumventing the impact of major non-Chernobyl-related intervening variables that could confound analysis of the trajectory of self-reported depression following the Chernobyl accident

Methods

- ▶ **Representative sampling** with a randomized telephone survey in **Kiev and Zhytomyr oblasts**.
- ▶ **Random digit dialing**: Computer generated random numbers were attached to Ukrainian area codes to form phone numbers. One person per household was interviewed.
- ▶ **four callbacks at different times of day** were tried.
- ▶ Pilot study of 100 separate respondents in late 2008.
- ▶ **An Independent auditing group**
- ▶ After data were cleaned, datasets were **personally de-identified** to assure confidentiality in accordance to **U.S. Heath Information Privacy Act requirements** prior to analysis.

to assure confidentiality in accordance with U.S. Health Information Privacy Act requirements prior to analysis.

Retrospective panel

Three Post Chernobyl waves

Figure 4: Wave structure of Study

Period	Time span
Prelude	1980 thru Chernobyl accident on 26 April 1986
Wave 1	Chernobyl event to end of 1986
Wave 2	1987 through end of 1996 (year of new Constitution)
Wave 3	1997 through 2009 for radiation reconstruction
	1997 through interview time for other items

Endogenous variable: Psycho-social depression

Sample average annual male and female depression level

- ▶ Retrospective respondent recall of significant changes in level of depression provided the basis for computation.
- ▶ Scale ranged from 0 to 100.
- ▶ Sample annual mean was computed from 1980 to time of interview.
- ▶ Called maledep_t and femdep_t for gender specific analysis.

Exogenous variables


reconstructed ionizing radiation exposure risk

- ▶ Reconstructed external dose of radiation estimated in (mSv).
- ▶ This level excludes cosmic rays or voluntary medical diagnostic x-rays or treatments.
- ▶ Aggregated by year.
- ▶ Mean male cumulative dose and mean female cumulative dose were, respectively, called `mavgcumdose` and `favgcumdose`.

Exogenous variables

external exposure risk

Figure 5: Cumulative external & differential external dose excluding natural background and voluntary medical radiation



Exogenous variables

external dose summary measures (mSv)

In sum, the largest possible ionizing dose did not attain the level (100 mSv (National Academies of Sciences Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation-VII) where signal could be distinguished from noise (54)

Figure 6: Summary statistics of dose from exposure to ionizing radiation

	Ending Date		
	12/31/1986	12/31/1996	12/31/2009
Lowest value of External Dose received by an individual (mSv)	0.0074	0.036	0.047
Largest value of External Dose received by an individual (mSv)	28.0	30.0	31.0
95 th Quantile of External Dose received in the sample (mSv)	0.037 - 1.4	0.14 - 3.4	0.19 - 4.4
Average value of External Dose received in the sample (mSv)	0.38	0.93	1.2
Median value of External Dose received in the sample (mSv)	0.28	0.69	0.91
Geometric Mean value of External Dose received in the sample (mSv)	0.23	0.61	0.84
Estimated Average value of External Dose from Natural Background (mSv)	0.33	5.3	12.0

Exogenous variables

Perceived Chernobyl accident-related health risk

The variables averaged in the index were

1. Percent the respondent believed Chernobyl accident affected **his or her health**,
2. Percent respondent believed that Chernobyl accident affected his or her **family's health**,
3. Percent of belief in the statement that in Kiev/Zhytomyr oblasts, most human **cancer cases** are known to be caused by radiation, was a reflection of **regional risk**.
4. Their scale Cronbach α reliability coefficient exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70 for scale inclusion. All male alphas were larger than 0.82 and all female alphas were 0.76 or larger.


Exogenous variables

Mean number of illnesses per period

- ▶ A self-report of the average number of illnesses per wave separately for males and females.
- ▶ With gender-specific variable names

`millwt` *and* `fillwt`.

Figure 7: Time series plot of key variables



Why state space models?

- ▶ Successfully applied to panel analysis with mixed frequency data (20, 2-3).
- ▶ Augmented Kalman filter permits analysis of nonstationary time series (Harvey, 1989), (Durbin and Koopman, 2000).
- ▶ State space models easily handle missing data.
- ▶ Their smoothing capability renders them applicable to small samples.
- ▶ They allow us to test our research questions with short datasets.

Final male anxiety model

$$\begin{aligned} MaleDep_t = & 0.094Level_t^{**} & + & 0.002Slope_t^\dagger \\ & -0.177millw_t^{***} & + & 0.152MalePerceivedRisk_t^{***} \\ & +0.033LevelShift_1991_t^{**} & + & 0.028LevelShift_1996_t^* + e_t \end{aligned}$$

▶ where

*** = $p < 0.001$

** = $p < 0.01$

* = $p < 0.05$

= $p < .10$

† = significant at the 0.602 level

- ▶ $MaleDep_t$ = male self-reported depression above 5%,
- ▶ $Level_t$ = level component,
- ▶ $Slope_t$ = slope component,
- ▶ $millw_t$ = average number of recalled illnesses per wave,
- ▶ $MalePerceivedrisk_t$ = Male Chernobyl related perceived health risk ,
- ▶ $LevelShift_1991_t$ = level shift at collapse of USSR, and
- ▶ $Levelshift_1996_t$ = level shift at completion of Constitution and creation of national currency. Level shifts are event indicator dummy variables coded as 0 before the event, and 1 thereafter.

Interpretation

- ▶ Mean male **cumulative external dose from ^{137}Cs did not appear to significantly predict** on male psycho-social depression, so we pruned it from equation.
- ▶ The **male psycho-social depression level** declines in 1990 but **rises in level in 1991** as the Soviet Union collapsed and 90% voted for independence in a nationwide referendum.
- ▶ **Male perceived Chernobyl related health risk** remained significant. The coefficient indicates a positive relationship between perceived Chernobyl related health risk and male psycho-social depression.
- ▶ Although depression increases before 1996, there is a **decline in depression to a new level that is higher than the previous depression level in 1996**, when a new constitution was adopted along with a new currency.
- ▶ The dominant explanatory variable is **male perceived health risk**. The **recalled average number of illnesses** per wave emerges as a statistically significant explanatory variable with a **negative** coefficient. Diagnosis of physical illness may allow treatment and recovery, reducing depression.

Model misspecification tests

Model validation and statistical congruency cannot be rejected with passage of all misspecification tests


- ▶ The final male model passed all misspecification tests for residual autocorrelation, homogeneity, normality, and outlier nonsignificance.

Ex post forecast assessment

suggests predictive validation

- ▶ Over 8 years of ex post forecast evaluation prior to a point of forecast origin:
- ▶ No statistically significant difference between the forecasts and the data with a χ^2 (df=8) test at the 0.05 significance level. Nor did we find that the forecast exceeded the bounds of significance for the CUSUM t- test.

Figure 8: Ukrainian male depression forecast profile with 95% prediction confidence limits in Kiev and Zhytomyr oblasts 2005-2010



Forecast profile

Figure 9: Male Depression Forecast profile from 2005 onward

Period	Forecasts with		95% confidence interval	
	Forecast	stand.err	leftbound	rightbound
2006	0.20547	0.01079	0.18389	0.22705
2007	0.20800	0.01682	0.17436	0.24164
2008	0.21053	0.02253	0.16547	0.25559
2009	0.21306	0.02827	0.15652	0.26960
2010	0.21559	0.03416	0.14727	0.28391

Ex ante forecast evaluation

Figure 10: Male Anxiety ex ante Forecast Evaluation

Forecast accuracy	measures	from	2005	forwards:	
Period	Error	RMSE	RMSPE	MAE	MAPE
2006	-0.01512	0.01512	0.68531	0.01512	6.85314
2007	-0.02729	0.02206	0.95267	0.02121	9.22639
2008	-0.05123	0.03463	1.37186	0.03121	12.67511
2009	-0.06635	0.04472	1.67966	0.04000	15.44302
2010	-0.04323	0.04443	1.67781	0.04065	15.69516

- ▶ Symmetric MAPE attempts to compensate for scale dependency at the smaller end of the 0 to 100 scale, where the conventional MAPE percentages become inflated. This version has more robustness to outliers than does the earlier versions.

$$SMAPEv3 = \frac{\sum_{t=h-1}^H |F_t - A_t|}{\sum_{t=h-1}^H (A_t + F_t)} * 100$$

- ▶ Symmetric MAPE version 3 indicates that the overall SMAPE for males over the 5 year forecast horizon of 2005 to 2010 (plus the preceding observation being used for a starting value) for males is merely 2.96%.

Findings: Final female depression trajectory model

$$\begin{aligned} FemDep_t = & 0.165Level_t^{***} & + & 0.005Slope_t^\# \\ & + .067FemPrcvdRisk_t^{***} & + & 0.075blip1986_t^{**} \\ & - 0.031blip1997_t^\# & + & 0.028blip2000_t^\# + e_t \end{aligned}$$

where

$\# = p < 0.10$

$* = p < 0.05$

$** = p < 0.01$

$*** = p < 0.001$

- ▶ and $FemDep_t$ = Female reported depression, $Level_t$ = local level component, $Slope_t$ = statistically almost significant local slope component, e_t = irregular or noise component, $FemPrcvdRisk_t$ = female perceived Chernobyl related health risk. and $blip1986_t$ is a blip dummy indicator, coded as 0 when the event is not taking place and 1 during the occurrence of the represented event. In this equation, the outlier indicators are coded as 1 for the year indicated and zero otherwise.
- ▶ When we examine the final female model, we observe that the largest effect appears to be that of the time-varying level. The second largest coefficient is that of the 1986 spike in depression ($blip_{1986_t}$) at the time of the Chernobyl accident. Almost as great as this impact is that of the female perceived risk of exposure to radioactivity from radiation released from the Chernobyl event. It is noteworthy that female cumulative external dose of ionizing radiation exposure is not a significant predictor of reported female depression in this model. The negative coefficient of the 1997 outlier may express establishment of the democratic Constitution in 1996, the establishment of the national currency, and the signing of the Ukrainian-Russian friendship pact in 1997.

Misspecification tests

Model validation cannot be rejected with passage of almost all tests

- ▶ No significant residual heteroskedasticity.
- ▶ No significant residual non-normality
- ▶ All residual diagnostic tests passed at a significance level of .05 with the exception of a significant lag 3 autocorrelation.
- ▶ However, the Kalman filter algorithm appeared to correct for this because it made no difference with the ex post forecast evaluation.

Female ex post forecast evaluation

- ▶ Failure Chi2(7) test is 4.315 with a p-value > 0.7 .
- ▶ Cusum t(7) test is -0.310 with a p-value > 0.10 .

Female Anxiety trajectory forecast profile




Figure 11: Female depression Forecast profile from 2005 over a five year horizon

Figure 12: Female Depression Forecast profile from 2005 onward

Forecasts	with 95%	confidence interval	from	2005 forwards:
Period	Forecast	stand.err	leftbound	rightbound
2006	0.27277	0.01829	0.25450	0.29109
2007	0.27801	0.02092	0.25711	0.29898
2008	0.28324	0.02369	0.25956	0.30702
2009	0.28847	0.02660	0.26189	0.31519
2010	0.29371	0.02962	0.26409	0.32348

Forecast evaluation

confirming predictive validity


The MAE is small until we reach 2008. But it's only .056 then. It's maximum is 2009 when Russia cuts off the gas for three weeks. It subsides when an agreement is reached. The MAPE jumps in 2008 but peaks at almost 19% when the gas is cut-off. The SMAPE is less than 4.73%.

Figure 13: Female Depression ex ante Forecast Evaluation

Forecast accuracy	measures	from	2005	forwards:	
Period	Error	RMSE	RMSPE	MAE	MAPE
2006	-0.01924	0.01924	0.65888	0.01924	6.58882
2007	-0.03604	0.02889	0.93578	0.02764	9.03300
2008	-0.11345	0.06962	1.81942	0.05625	15.55529
2009	-0.11914	0.08479	2.14965	0.07199	18.97790
2010	-0.01759	0.07625	1.93923	0.06111	16.31214

- ▶ SMAPE v3 for the females over the five year forecast horizon is merely 4.73%.

Comparison of males and females



Conclusions

1. Dose from external radiation sources does not significantly predict psycho-social depression for either males or females.
2. Perceived health risk from Chernobyl accident predicts psycho-social depression for males and females.
3. Recalled average number of illnesses is significantly negatively related to psycho-social depression among Ukrainian males but not in females.
4. Circumvention of major confounding end-effects may be accomplished with state space scenario forecasting from earlier points of forecast origin.
5. Significant level shifts and blips in psycho-social depression can represent impacts of important exogenous events meriting investigation for proper historical interpretation.

Discussion of statistical congruency and model Validity

- ▶ **Internal validity** is preserved by circumvention of confounding variables by such scenario forecasting. Justified by political and economic nature of potentially confounding variables.
- ▶ **Statistical conclusion validity**: Power is reduced by reversion to earlier point of forecast origin. This is best done at end of series only for one or two major events. Circumvention of confounding intervening variables fortifies internal validity.
- ▶ **Ex post forecast evaluation** is evaluated by CUSUM and t-tests with none of the 8 preceding estimated values significantly different from the actual values, justifying further forecasting.
- ▶ **External validity** (Generalizability) is preserved by random selection. No problem with empirical correction for systematic bias.

Limitations

- ▶ We do not claim to be able to circumvent all, but perhaps only major potentially confounding events, at the end of this series.
- ▶ Assumption of relatively immediate mass impact, and no long time delay for impact is warranted here.
- ▶ This circumvention mechanism may not work well for potential confounders impacting early or mid-way on the series.
- ▶ This should not be applied to different types of impacts where their impacts overlap if they need to be distinguished from one another: Avoid masking or smearing events and/or impacts when these need to be distinguished from one another.
- ▶ Because we could not reliably forecast from 1989-1991, which as a point of forecast origin would be too early in the series, the collapse of the Soviet Union may not be totally circumvented.

Discussion - Directions for future research

- ▶ This methodology can be applied to test significance of historical political and economic impacts.
- ▶ For limited applications of confounding end-effect circumvention
- ▶ This methodology can be applied to the study psychosocial sequelae of other nuclear events.
- ▶ The volatility of these sequelae can also be examined per se.

References

- [1] Anderson, B.D.O. and Moore, J.B. (1979) *Optimal Filtering* Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, 36.
- [2] Apsimon, H. and Wilson, J. (1991) The Application of Numerical Models to Assess Dispersion and Deposition in the event of a Nuclear Accident Journal of Forecasting**(10)**, 91-103.
- [3] Balonov, M.I. (2007) The Chernobyl Forum: findings and recommendations Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, **96**, 6-12.
- [4] BBC Ukraine historical timeline February 23, 2014
http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/country_profiles/1107869.stm
- [5] Biernson, G. 1990 *Optimal radar tracking systems* New York: Wiley,13, 413.
- [6] Bromet, E. J. (2012) Mental Health Consequences of the Chernobyl disaster Journal of Radiological Protection **32(1)**.
- [7] Bromet, E.J., Gluzman, S.F., Paniotto,V.I., Havenaar, J.M., and Gutkovich, Z. 2005 Epidemiology of psychiatric and alcohol disorders in Ukraine: Finds from the Ukraine World Mental Health survey Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology,**40**, 681-690.
- [8] Bromet, E.J., and Havenaar, J.M. (2007) Psychological and perceived health effects of the Chernobyl disaster Health Physics **93 (5)**.
- [9] E.J. Bromet, J.M. Havenaar, and L.T. Guey (2011) A 25 Year Retrospective Review of the Psychological Consequences of the Chernobyl Accident Clinical Oncology,**(23)**, 297-305.
- [10] Campbell, D.T. and Stanley, J. C. (1963) *Experimental & Quasi-experimental design* Chicago, Ill: Rand McNally, 7.
- [11] Commandeur, J.F. and Koopman, S. J. (2007) *An Introduction to State Space Time Series Analysis* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- [12] The Chernobyl Forum: 2003-2005, D. Kinley III (editor). 2006 *Chernobyl's Legacy: Health, Environmental, and Socio-economic Impacts and Recommendations to the Governments of Belarus, the Russian Federation, and Ukraine*, 2nd revised edition Vienna, Au: International Atomic Energy Association, 10-20, 36-37.
- [13] DeCort, M., et al. (1998) Atlas of Caesium Deposition on Europe after the Chernobyl Accident Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- [14] deJong, P. (1988) The Likelihood for a State Space Model Biometrika, **(75)**, 165-169
- [15] deJong, P. (1991) The Diffuse Kalman Filter The Annals of Statistics, Vol. **19(2)**, 1073-1083.
- [16] Doornik, J.A. and Hendry, D. F. 2013 *Empirical Econometric Modeling* PCGive 14, Volume 1 London, UK: Timberlake Consultants, Ltd., 215-234.
- [17] Doornik, J.A. and Hendry, D. F. 2013 *Econometric Analysis with Markov Regime Switching Models* PCGive 14, Volume V London, UK: Timberlake Consultants, Ltd., 6-10.

- [18] Durbin, J. and Koopman, S. J. (2001) *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 115-120.
- [19] Durbin, J. and Koopman, S. J. (2012) *Time Series Analysis by State Space Methods*, 2nd ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 35.
- [20] Foroni, C. and Marcellino, M. (2013) A Survey of Econometric Methods for Mixed Frequency data http://www.norges-bank.no/pages/93112/Norges_Bank_Working_Paper_2013_06.pdf, 2-3.
- [21] Ginzberg, H. (1993) The Psychological Findings of the Chernobyl Accident: Findings from the International Atomic Energy Agency Study. *Public Health Reports*, 108(2), 192-194.
- [22] Godet, M. (1982) From forecasting to "La Prospective" - A new way of looking at futures *Journal of Forecasting* (1), 293-201.
- [23] Granger, C.W.J. and Newbold, P. (1974) Spurious regressions in Econometrics *Journal of Econometrics* 2, 111-120..
- [24] Harvey, A. C. (1989) *Forecasting, structural time series, and the Kalman Filter* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 268.
- [25] Havenaar, J. M., Wilde, E. J., van den Bout, J., Drottz-Sjoberg, van den Brink, W. (2003) Perception of risk and subjective health among victims of the Chernobyl disaster *Social Science & Medicine*, 56, Issue 3, 569-572.
- [26] Havenaar, J.M. and van den Brink, W. 1997 Psychological Factors Affecting Health After Toxicological Disasters *Clinical Psychology Review*, 17(4), 365.
- [27] Hyndman, R.J., Koehler, A.B., Ord, J.K., and Snyder, R.J. (2008) *Forecasting with Exponential Smoothing: the state space approach* New York, NY: Springer.
- [28] Hyndman, R.J., Koehler, A.B., Snyder, R.J., and Grose, S. (2002) A state space framework for automatic forecasting using exponential smoothing methods *International Journal of forecasting*, 18, 439-454.
- [29] Institute of Navigation (Accessed February 16, 2014) *Navigation Museum Apollo 8 Guidance and Navigation System*. https://www.ion.org/museum/item_view.cfm?cid=4scid=19iid=293
- [30] Jacob, P., H.G. Paretzke, and H. Rosenbaum (1988) Organ doses from radionuclides on the ground. Part II. Non-trivial time dependences. *Health Physics*, 1988. 55(1), 37-49.
- [31] Jacob, P. et al. (1988) Organ doses from radionuclides on the ground. Part I. Simple time dependences. *Health Physics*, 1988. 54(6), 617-33.
- [32] Kahn, H. (1965) *On Escalation: metaphors and scenarios* New York: Praeger.
- [33] Koopman, S. J., Harvey, A.C., Doornik, J.A., and Shephard, N. *Structural Time Series Analyser, Modeller, and Predictor STAMP 8.2* (2009) London, UK: Timberlake Consultants, Ltd , 175. □ ◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ ☰

- [34] Koopman, S. J., Shephard, N., and Doornik, J.A. (2008) SsfPack 3.0 (2008) London, UK: Timberlake Consultants, Ltd , 40, 175.
- [35] Leamer, Ed (1983) Let's take the con out of econometrics American Economic Review, 73, 31-43.
- [36] Likhtarev, I. A. et. al. (1996) Effective doses due to external irradiation from the Chernobyl accident for different population groups of Ukraine. Health Physics, 70(1), 87-97.
- [37] Likhtarev, I. A. et al. (2002) Chernobyl accident: Retrospective and prospective estimates of external dose of the population of Ukraine. Health Physics, 82(3), 290-303.
- [38] Nunnally, J.C. (1978) Psychometric Theory New York, NY: McGraw Hill, 226.
- [39] Sperb Leite, M. and Roper, L. David (1988) The Goiânia Radiation Incident A Failure of Science and Society <http://arts.bev.net/roperldavid/gri.htm> Conclusion Accessed 19 Feb. 2014.
- [40] Pedhazur, E. J. and Schmelkin, L. P. (1991) Measurement, Design, and Analysis Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, 212-219.
- [41] Perez Foster, R. and Goldstein, M.F. (2007) Chernobyl disaster sequela in recent immigrants to the United States from the former Soviet Union. Journal of Immigrant and Minority Health, 9, 115-124.
- [42] Perez Foster, R. and Branovan, D.I. (2006) Surviving Chernobyl in America: Physical and mental health needs of recent FSU immigrants to the United States. Journal of Immigrant and Refugee Studies, 4, 97-118.
- [43] Perez Foster, R. (2002) The long-term mental health effects of nuclear trauma in recent Russian immigrants to the United States. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 72, 492-504.
- [44] Protzman, F. (January 23, 1990) Upheaval in the East; East Germany Discloses Serious Accident at Nuclear Plant in 1976 <http://www.nytimes.com/1990/01/23/world/upheaval-east-east-germany-discloses-serious-accident-nuclear-plant-1976.html> Accessed 19 Feb. 2014.
- [45] Rodgers, R. M. (2003) Applied Mathematics in Integrated Navigation Systems 2nd. ed. Reston, VA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, xv, 206, 213.
- [46] Saenko, V., Ivanov, V, Tsyb, A., Bogdanova, T., Tronko, M., Yu, D. and Yamashita, S. (2011) The Chernobyl Accident and its Consequences Clinical Oncology, 23, 234-243.
- [47] Saito, K. et al. (1996) Calculation of organ doses from environmental gamma rays using human phantoms and Monte Carlo methods. Part 1: Monoenergetic sources and natural radionuclides in the ground Gesellschaft für Strahlen- und Umweltforschung.
- [48] Schuemie, M.J., Ryan, P.B., DuMouchel, W., Sucharrd, M. A., and Madigan, D. (2014) Interpreting observational studies: why empirical calibration is needed to correct p-values. Statistics in Medicine, 33, 209-218.

- [49] Tashman, L. (2000) Out-of-sample forecast evaluation International Journal of Forecasting, 16, 437-450.
- [50] Time and Life Pictures of the Worst Nuclear accidents (Accessed 19 Feb 2014) Time Photos http://content.time.com/time/photogallery/0,29307,1887705_2255451,00.html
- [51] Wack, P. (1985) Scenarios: Shooting the rapids Harvard Business Review, September-October, 73-89.
- [52] WISE (2010) Criticality accident at Tokai nuclear fuel plant(Japan) World Information Source on Energy Uranium Project <http://www.wise-uranium.org/efokc.html>WORLD Accessed 19 Feb 2014.
- [53] Yaffee, R. A., Nikolopoulos, K., Reilly, D.P., Crone, S.F., Wagoner, K.D., Douglass, R.J., Amman, B.R., Ksiazek, T.G., and Mills, J.M. (2008) An Experiment in Epidemiological Forecasting: A Comparison of forecast accuracies of different methods of forecasting Deer Mouse Population Density in Montana A report on forecasting research done with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Ga. Presentation at George Washington University, Center for Economic Research, December 1, 2011. <http://research.columbian.gwu.edu/cer/research/forecasting/pastpresentations>, 16-19.
- [54] Committee to Assess Health Risks from Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation, Board on Radiation Effects Research, Division on Earth and Life Studies, NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES 2006 HEALTH RISKS FROM EXPOSURE TO LOW LEVELS OF IONIZING RADIATION: BEIR VII PHASE 2 National Academies Press <http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?isbn=030909156X>

Sample demographics (Kiev oblast: 85.9% , Zhytomyr oblast 14.1%)

- ▶ Age range: 28 - 84 years
- ▶ Gender composition: 48.3% *males* and 51.7% *females*
- ▶ Martial status: Single: 9.1% ; cohabiting: 4.6% ; married: 69.5% ; separated: 1.14% ; divorced: 6.98% ; widowed: 8.7%
- ▶ Employment status: full - time 61.8%; part- time: 8.12% ; retired: 24.8%; unemployed or voluntary: 5.27%.
- ▶ Occupational status: Prof, admin, exec: 26.9%; tech sales admin support: 17.2%; service: 10.6%, precision, craft prod: 6.1%; factory laborer, transp 3.3%; agricultural forestry, fishing, trapping, logging: 1.1%; homemaking or caregiving: 23.8%, student: .14%.
- ▶ Educational achievement: Not HS grad: .14%; HS grad 5.27%; tech degree 39.6%; Coll grad 13.8%; masters degree 40.0%; MD or Ph.D 1.23%.
- ▶ Income: Insufficient for basics: 23.3%; just sufficient 42.2%; sufficient + a little extra: 29.2%; affords luxury items : 3.13%.

Threshold of Human biological risk

- ▶ A recent report from the **US National Academies of Sciences: Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation (BEIR VII)** summarizes the latest findings of epidemiological and experimental research on low levels of radiation. **At doses less than 100 mSv, statistical limitations make it difficult to evaluate risks in humans.**
- ▶ The **lifetime-attributable-risk (LAR) for developing cancer in a population receiving 100mSv would be about 1% for males and 1.4% for females** This is about 40 times lower than the incidence of cancer expected in the population from other causes.
- ▶ For our representative sample, **median accumulated dose is about 10 times less than the external dose expected from naturally occurring background sources.**

Kalman filter (34, 40)

State vector and predictive variance updating equations under NID assumptions

$$a_{t+1} = E(\alpha_t | Y_t), \quad P_{t+1} = Cov(\alpha_{t+1} | Y_t) \quad (2)$$

$$v_t = y_t - Z_t a_t \quad (N \times 1) \quad (3)$$

$$F_t = Z_t P_t Z_t' + G_t G_t' \quad (N \times N) \quad (4)$$

$$K_t = (T_t P_t Z_t' + H_t G_t') F_t^{-1} \quad (m \times N) \quad (5)$$

$$\alpha_{t+1} = T_t \alpha_t + K_t v_t \quad (m \times 1) \quad (6)$$

$$P_{t+1} = T_t P_t T_t' + H_t H_t' - K_t F_t K_t' \quad (m \times m) \quad (7)$$

where a_{t+1} = a random mean vector ($m \times 1$)

α_{t+1} = the latent state vector

$Y_t = y_1 \dots y_t$ observed time series from $t=1$ to $t=n$ ($N \times 1$)

v_t = one-step ahead innovation error ($N \times 1$) of y_t

Z_t = factor loading matrix ($N \times m$)

P_t = variance matrix of state vector ($m \times m$)

T_t = transition coefficient matrix ($m \times m$)

G_t = specific error vector of measurement model ($N \times r$)

F_t = variance of the prediction error v_t

K_t = Kalman gain

H_t = selection matrix for state errors ($m \times r$)

n = number of observations

m = dimension of the state vector

N = number of variables

r = dimension of the disturbance vector.